1 2	Steve W. Berman ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP 715 Hearst Avenue, Suite 202	
3	Berkeley, CA 94710 Telephone: (510) 725-3000	
4	Facsimile: (510) 725-3001 steve@hbsslaw.com	
5	Elizabeth J. Cabraser (083151) LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP	
6	275 Battery Street, 29th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3339	
7	Telephone: (415) 956-1000 Facsimile: (415) 956-1008	
8	ecabraser@lchb.com	
9	Adam J. Zapala (245748) COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP	
10	840 Malcolm Road Burlingame, CA 94010	
11	Telephone: (650) 697-6000 Facsimile: (650) 697-0577	
12	azapala@cpmlegal.com	
13	Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs	
14	Co-Lead Class Counsel	
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
17	IN RE LITHIUM ION BATTERIES	
18	ANTITRUST LITIGATION,	Case No. 13-MD-02420 YGR (DMR)
19		MDL No. 2420
20	This Document Relates to:	FINAL JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE AS TO TOSHIBA CORPORATION
21		
22	ALL INDIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS	
23		
24		
25	This matter came before the Court to determine whether to finally approve the settlement with	
26	defendant Toshiba Corporation ("Toshiba") set forth in the Settlement Agreement ("Agreement")	
27	dated February 15, 2018, relating to the above-captioned litigation. The Court, after carefully	
28	considering all papers filed and proceedings held herein and otherwise being fully informed in	
20		

## 

the premises, has determined that: (1) the Agreement should be approved, and (2) there is no just reason for the delay of the entry of this Final Judgment approving this Agreement. Accordingly, the Court directs entry of Final Judgment, which shall constitute a final adjudication of this case on the merits in accordance with the terms of the Agreement. Good cause appearing therefor, it is:

## ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation, the Actions within this litigation, and all parties to the Agreement, including all members of the Class.
- 2. The definitions of terms set forth in the Agreement are incorporated hereby as though fully set forth in this Final Judgment.
- 3. The Court has granted final approval and confirmed the settlement set forth in the Agreement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable and adequate to the Class pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 4. Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(g), Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP, Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein, LLP and Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy, LLP have been appointed as Class Counsel. These firms have and will fairly and competently represent the interests of the Class.
- 5. The persons/entities identified in Attachment 8 to the Declaration of Cameron R. Azari, Esq., on Implementation and Adequacy of Class Notice Program, filed on June 11, 2019 (ECF No. 2501-9) have validly requested exclusion from the Class and, therefore, are excluded. Such persons/entities are not included in or bound by this Final Judgment. Such persons/entities are not entitled to any recovery of the settlement proceeds obtained through the Agreement.
- 6. This Court hereby dismisses on the merits, and with prejudice, all claims against Toshiba that were asserted in the Actions, with each party to bear its own costs and attorneys' fees except as otherwise described in the Agreement.
- 7. All persons and entities who are Releasors under the terms of the Agreement are hereby barred and enjoined from commencing, prosecuting or continuing, either directly or indirectly, any claim against the Releasees, in this or any other jurisdiction, any and all claims,

causes of action or lawsuits, which they had, have, or in the future may have, arising out of or related to any of the Released Claims as defined in the Agreement.

- 8. The Releasees are hereby and forever released and discharged with respect to any and all claims or causes of action which the Releasors had or have arising out of or related to any of the Released Claims as defined in the Agreement.
- 9. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court hereby retains continuing jurisdiction over: (a) implementation of the Agreement and any distribution to Class Members pursuant to further orders of this Court; (b) disposition of the Gross Settlement Fund; (c) hearing and determining any future applications by plaintiffs' counsel for attorneys' fees, costs, expenses, and interest; (d) the Actions until the Final Judgment contemplated hereby has become effective and each and every act agreed to be performed by the parties all have been performed pursuant to the Agreement; (e) hearing and ruling on any matters relating to the plan of allocation of settlement proceeds; and (f) all parties to the Actions and Releasors, for the purpose of enforcing and administering the Agreement and the mutual releases and other documents contemplated by, or executed in connection with the Agreement.
- 10. The Court finds, pursuant to Rules 54(a) and (b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, that this Final Judgment should be entered, and further finds that there is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Judgment, as a Final Judgment, as to the parties to the Agreement. Accordingly, the Clerk is hereby directed to enter Judgment forthwith.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: August 27, 2019

YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE